

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/658,799

**Applicant(s)**

MOON ET AL.

**Examiner**

GELEK TOPGYAL

**Art Unit**

2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gelek Topgyal.(3) Youngok Hyung.(2) Thai Tran.(4) Kil-Soo Jung(5) Nathan Cristler.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 42.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cho et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applied reference Cho et al. (US 2002/0176693A1) does not disclose the amended "a first file" and "a second file". The examiner will call if claims have 101 problem.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thai Tran/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621